

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Universidade Federal de Viçosa



An efficient map generalization heuristic based on the Visvalingam-Whyatt algorithm

Salles Viana Gomes de Magalhães, PhD. Student Prof. Dr. W Randolph Franklin, RPI/Supervisor Wenli Li, PhD. Student Prof. Dr. Marcus V. A. Andrade, UFV

<20

The 24th Fall Workshop on Computational Geometry Oct 31-Nov 1 at The University of Connecticut

Curve generalization

- Curve generalization: represent a polyline using fewer points.
- It is desirable to keep the lines "similar".





Geometry

Computational

C0

Fall Workshop

2014

5

N H

Curve generalization

- There are well-known algorithms to solve this problem.
- Examples:
 - Douglas-Peucker:
 - Repeatedly adds points.
 - Visvalingam-Whyatt
 - Repeatedly removes points.



Map generalization

- Simplify polylines in a map.
- Remove points (except endpoints)
- Challenge: topological problems.





Source: http://mypages.iit.edu/~xzhang22/GISCUP2014



The problem

- Map generalization with control points.
 - Avoid topological changes in polylines & in control points.
 - Example: city cannot lie in the wrong state.



Our solution

- Grid-Gen (ACM GISCUP)
 - Process polylines independently.
 - Remove polyline point ↔ no topological problem.
 - No topological problem ↔ no point in triangle!





• Special cases:

Geometry

Computational

Fall Workshop on

2014

י ט ט

E W

• Coincident endpoints & no control point inside.





י ט ט

M H

Our solution

- Special cases:
 - Coincident endpoints & no control point inside.



• Solution: dummy points.





- Special cases:
 - Two polylines with the same endpoints & no control point inside.





Our solution

- Special cases:
 - Two polylines with the same endpoints & no control point inside.



• Also solved with dummy points.





Uniform grid

- For efficiency: uniform grid.
- Polylines points & control points \rightarrow grid.



Grid-Gen2

- Grid-Gen: We only try to satisfy the constraints.
- Grid-Gen2:
 - Points ranked based on "effective area" (Visvalingam-Whyatt).
 - Remove first points with small "area".





Grid-Gen2

- Grid-Gen: We only try to satisfy the constraints.
- Grid-Gen2:
 - Points ranked based on "effective area" (Visvalingam-Whyatt).
 - Remove first points with small "area".
 - Areas of neighbors are updated.
 - For efficiency \rightarrow priority queue.

a



Fall

2014

U

Experimental results

- i7-3520M 3.6 GHz processor, 8GB of RAM memory
- Samsung 840 EVO SSD (500 GiB)
- Linux Mint 17



- i7-3520M 3.6 GHz processor, 8GB of RAM memory
- Samsung 840 EVO SSD (500 GiB)
- Linux Mint 17
- Goal: remove 50% of the points.



- Grid-Gen vs Grid-Gen2
- Time (ms) for each step (only simplification is different).
- Bottleneck: **I**/**O** and simplification step.
- Simplification: Grid-Gen2 is 8 times slower.

Dataset # input points	$\frac{3}{8531}$	$4 \\ 3 \times 10^4$	$5 \ 3{ imes}10^4$	$6 \\ 3 \times 10^5$	$7 \\ 4 \times 10^{6}$
Input reading	10	22	29	257	37092
Unif. grid init.	0	1	1	24	1472
Simp. $(Grid-Gen2)$	2	15	13	435	23759
Simp. (Grid-Gen)	1	4	3	54	3481
Output writing	6	21	21	170	1817



• Example of solution (blue = original, red = Grid-Gen, green = Grid-Gen2)



• Example of solution (blue = original, red = Grid-Gen, green = Grid-Gen2)



Conclusions

- Grid-Gen and Grid-Gen2 are very fast simplification algorithms.
- Grid-Gen2 is only two times slower (total) than Grid-Gen.
- Future work:
 - Compare against other methods.
 - Study the uniform grid size.







Salles Viana Gomes de Magalhães, vianas2@rpi.edu W Randolph Franklin, mail@wrfranklin.org Wenli Li, liw9@rpi.edu Marcus V. A. Andrade, marcus@ufv.br